

ReedSmith**FAX TRANSMITTAL****From: James G. Dilmore, Ph.D.**

Direct Phone: 412.288.3813

Email: jdilmore@reedsmith.com

Date: December 27, 2003

16

435 Sixth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1886
Main Phone: 412.288.3131
Main Fax: 412.288.3063**Total Number of Pages Including Cover Page****RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****TO** Technology Center 1642**Company** USPTO**Fax** 703-872-9306**Phone****DEC 10 2003****OFFICIAL****COPIES TO:**

Name	Company	Fax	Time Sent
			/


Original will follow via: ☐ Regular Mail ☐ Overnight Delivery ☐ Messenger ☒ None

Re: Applicant: WONG ET AL.
 Title: ENDOTHELIAL SPECIFIC TARGETING
 Serial No.: 09/810,700
 Filed: March 16, 2001
 Art Unit: 1642
 Examiner: Karen A. Canella
 Docket No: 00-149-US

Dear Sir:

It has come to our attention that the Interview Summary Record received by the undersigned on October 2, 2003, concerning a telephonic interview conducted on September 12, 2003, has not been entered into PAIR as of today's date. As suggested by the Technology Center's clerk, attached is a copy of said Interview Summary Record. Kindly ensure that this is entered into the PALM system and rescind the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated August 11, 2003, as agreed to by the Examiner in the interview.

Should there be any questions, please telephone the undersigned immediately at 412-288-3813. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.


 James G. Dilmore, Ph.D. Reg. No. 51,618

If you do not receive all of the pages, please call . at .Please Transmit Before: ☐ 9 ☐ 10 ☐ 11 a.m. ☐ 12 ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 p.m.

Client Number: 017917 Matter Number: 20147 Attorney Number:

Transmission Time: a.m./p.m. Finish Time: a.m./p.m.

Operator:

PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile message may be privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above who has been specifically authorized to receive it. If the reader is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return all pages to the address shown below. Thank you.

LONDON • NEW YORK • LOS ANGELES • SAN FRANCISCO • WASHINGTON, D.C. • PHILADELPHIA • PITTSBURGH • OAKLAND • PRINCETON
 FALLS CHURCH • WILMINGTON • NEWARK • MIDLANDS, U.K. • CENTURY CITY • RICHMOND • HARRISBURG • LEESBURG • WESTLAKE VILLAGE

reedsmith.com

PC1008-117634201-1180710P
December 10, 2003 11:01 AM

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

10/02/03 THU 11:33 FAX 703 303 7401

GP 1800 FAX MACHINE 8

001

Crystal Mall 1, 7th Floor
1911 South Clark Street
Arlington, VA 22202
Tel.: 703-308-8362
Fax: 703-746-6195

USPTO


Fax

To: Jennifer Austin From: Examiner Canella
Fax: 412-288-3063 Pages: _____
Phone: _____ Date: _____
Re: 09/510, 700 CC: _____
☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle
• Comments: _____

DEC 10 2003
OFFICIAL
002

10/02/03 THU 11:33 FAX 703 305 7401

CP 1800 FAX MACHINE 8

Interview Summary		Application No. 09/810,700	Applicant(s) Wong et al
Examiner Karen Canella	Art Unit 1642		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Karen Canella</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>James Dittmore</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview <u>Sep 12, 2003</u>			
Type: a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic b) <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference			
c) <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (copy is given to 1) <input type="checkbox"/> applicant 2) <input type="checkbox"/> applicant's representative)			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes e) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. If yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: _____			
Identification of prior art discussed: _____			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) <input type="checkbox"/> was reached. g) <input type="checkbox"/> was not reached. h) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A.			
Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:			
<u>The notice of non-complaint amendment, mailed August 11, 2003, is withdrawn in light of a telephone call from applicant pointing out the alteration in the claim language which was inadvertently overlooked by the examiner.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).			
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		 Examiner's signature, if required	

3. Patent and Trademark Office
FO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 17